†Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—There was no Sannad. The Saranjamdar could not produce the Sannad. Therefore it has been held that it is a grant of soil.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—May I know the date on which it was granted ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That information is not available.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—Is it not a life estate? It was a life estate ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—We have already held that it is a grant of land. Accordingly, Government Order has been issued.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—When there have been so many transfers, the transferees are full owners thereof. Or are they occupants ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—This is a matter to be settled. After consulting the Divisional Commissioner, all rights would be conferred upon the aliences.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—It is only from the Saranjamdars that there have been alienations by persons who are not Saranjamdars and their cases are still to be considered by Government.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—We have not dealt with that question. We have held that it is the grant of soil so far as this Saranjam Rao Raste is concerned.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—What about the persons who are alienees of the last Saranjam ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—We will decide the matter.

WORKING OF THE DRY-*CUM*-WET SYSTEM UNDER THE LOW LEVEL CHANNEL OF THUNGABHADRA PROJECT.

*Q.—484. Sri B. E. RAMIAH (Siruguppa).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether the system of dry-*cum*-wet, adopted for localisation under the Low Level Channel of Tungabhadra Project is working satisfactorily ;

(*b*) whether they have received any complaints from the cultivators and if so, the nature of the complaints ;

(*c*) whether the Government propose to appoint a Fact Finding Committee to examine the working of the Dry-*cum*-Wet system under the Low Level Channel ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Minister for Home [on behalf of Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works and Electricity].—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes. The Tungabhadra Project Ayacutdar's Union, Siruguppa, has represented to Government about the inadequate supply of water to the Dry-*cum*-Wet areas; and the need for examining the existing pattern of localisation.

(*c*) No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ :— ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪಿ. ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು. ಡಿ. ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾಗ ಡ್ರೈ-ಕಂ-ವೆಟ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಂ ಫೇಲ್ಯೂರ್ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

†Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I am sorry that the Hon'ble Member has been misquoting me. ಡ್ರೈ-ಕಂ-ವೆಟ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಂ ಎನ್ನತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಸದು. ನಮ್ಮ ರೈತರಿಗೂ ಹೊಸದು. ಅದರ ಅನುಭವವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಆದರಿಂದುಂಟಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಕಷ್ಟಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು.

2-00 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಅಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಇನ್‌ಫಾರ್ಮಲ್ಲಾಗಿ, ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಶನರ ನೇತೃತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಚೀಫ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂದ ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಷ್ಟರಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಿ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿತ್ತು.

Sri M. Y. GHORPADE.—Sir, does the Government consider it right to levy water rate when they accept that it is not able to supply the expected quantity of water to the dry *cum* wet lands ?

Sri H. M, CHANNABASAPPA.—Government at no time has accepted that it is not possible to supply water. I only said that there are some difficulties in the practical implementation of the scheme.

Sri M. Y. GHORPADE.—Is it not a fact that the Ayakatdars have represented to the Government, that since the supply of water is not sufficient, a fact finding committee should be set up to examine whether it is possible to supply water ?

Srl H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is true that different Ayakctdars have made different representations. Government are taking all the interests involved into consideration. Government have to safeguard the interests of the upper riparians as also those of the lower riparians.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿ ಅಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆವು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ, ಇರತಕ್ಕದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಸಣ್ಣಪುಟ್ಟ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗಳೇನಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಿ ಸ್ಕೀಮ್ ಸಕ್ಸೆಸ್‌ಫುಲ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

EXECUTION OF WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES IN BELLARY AND HOSPET TOWNS.

*Q.—490. Sri B. E. RAMIAH (Siruguppa).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the acute water scarcity in Bellary and Hospet Towns;

(*b*) the cost of the estimate of the schemes at Bellary and Hospet;

(*c*) the amount spent on the schemes up to the end of February, 1961;

(*d*) the difficulties in the early execution of the schemes;

(*e*) when they are likely to be completed?

*A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Development and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*)	Bellary Water Supply Scheme	Rs. 87,44,300
	Hospet Water Supply Scheme	Rs. 27.03 lakhs (first stage)
(*c*)	Bellary Water Supply Scheme	Rs. 33,10,518
	Hospet Water Supply Seheme	
(*d*)	No particular difficulties are experienced.	Nil.

(*e*) Bellary Water Supply Scheme is likely to be completed by March, 1963.

Hospet Water Supply Scheme is likely to be completed by March, 1964.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ ವಾಟರ್ ಸಪ್ಲೈ ಸ್ಕೀಮಿನ first shage estimate 27.03 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ, Second stage estimates ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದೆಯೋ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಫುರ್ತಿ ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್ ಇನ್ನೂ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know whether the Hon'ble Minister has seen the harrowing tale of the sufferings of the people of Bellary for want of water in Bellary in this morning's paper?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I have had personal experience of it Sir,

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—What does the Government propose to do to relieve this trouble immediately Sir?